Michael O. Leavitt Kathleen Clarke Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) Lowell P. Braxton Division Director 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-7223 (TDD)

July 5, 2001

TO:		a Î				
THRU:	Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor	J				
FROM:	Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist					
RE:	2001 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fue Mine, Canyo	l Company, Sol	dier Canyon			
	ta submitted for all of the MRP required sites? ntify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
Well 6-1 has not been monitored due to blockage within the casing. It has not been sampled since 1997 and the Operator has committed to taking it out of the MRP sampling frequency during permit renewal.						
2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.						
Resampling due date						
Renewal submittal due $10/03/01$, renewal due $02/03/02$. No commitment to resample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .						
	Il required parameters reported for each site? nments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
	regularities found in the data? nments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🗌	NO 🗵			
Five same five s	e of the total 10 monitoring sites were submitted as No ites were not accessible during the first quarter and las	Access as the out fourth quarter	only data. These of 2000. If a			

1	pattern	persists an	adjustment	to	the	MRP	may	be	necessar	у.

5.	Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?		
	1 st month, 2 nd month, 3 rd month,	YES 🖂	NO 🗌 NO 🗍
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🛚	№ □
	No Discharge was recorded during the reporting period.		
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🗌	NO 🛚
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you	recommend?	

No further action is recommended.

sm O:\007018.SOL\FINAL\WQ_01-1.DOC